Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission TW-A325 445 Twelfth St., SW Washington, DC 20554



Re: *Notice of Ex parte* presentation

CS Docket No. 98-82 IB Docket No. 93-25

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On April 15, 2003, Andrew Jay Schwartzman, President/CEO, MAP, and Harold Feld, Associate Director, MAP, met with Jordan Goldstein, legal advisor to Commissioner Copps.

With regard to Docket No. 98-82, Mr. Feld stated that more than two years have now passed since the D.C. Cir. remanded the matter. He asked why it is is that when it comes to deregulatory proceedings ordered by the court – such as the Biennial and Triennial Reviews – the FCC seeks to move with alacrity, but when the FCC is required to implement a rule, it appears willing to delay and delay. He noted that the record, which the court ordered refreshed, grows increasingly stale with delay. He gave Mr. Goldstein the attached memo.

With regard to IB Docket No. 93-25, Mr. Feld and Mr. Schwartzman recounted the history of the DBS service. Notably, in 1984, the D.C. Circuit found that DBS was "broadcasting" within the meaning of the Communications Act and required application of the political broadcast rules and public interest obligations. The FCC effectively reversed this determination with the *Subscription Video Order* in 1986. In 1992, when Congress enacted the relevant provisions of Section 335, it deliberately legislated to overrule the FCC by directing the DBS be subjected to broadcast regulation. As a result, on recoonsideration, the Commission should not seek to minimize the implementation of Sec. 335.

MAP staff also observed that as an evidentiary matter, DBS technology had expanded considerably, and it may be necessary to refresh the record. Notably, Sec. 335 requires that the Commission "examine the opportunities...for the principles of localism under this Act, and the methods by which such principles may be served through technological and other developments." The Commission should therefore refresh the record to take into account the impact of SHVIA on the principles of localism and the advances in spotbeam technology. Similarly, the Commission should examine to what extent the public interest set aside is used to facilitate localism, and the impact of cross-ownership of terrestrial and DBS on localism.

In accordance with Section 1.1206(b), 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, this letter is being filed electronically with your office today.

Respectfully submitted

Harold Feld Associate Director Media Access Project

cc: Jordan Goldstein

## CABLE HORIZONTAL OWNERSHIP POINTS

- **Petitions for Reconsideration** Media Access Project filed two *Petitions for Reconsideration* of the original order. These *Petitions* were dismissed as moot by the current *NPRM*. Neither the Court in *TWE* nor the Commission has ever considered the validity of these arguments and the Commission should review them *de novo*. These arguments are:
  - 1. The Commission erred as a matter of law and policy by using total MVPD subscribers rather than just cable subscribers or cable homes passed.
  - 2. Permitting cable operators to use the "any generally accepted industry publication." See October 11, 2002 *ex parte*.
  - 3. Use of "insulation criteria" to circumvent the attribution criteria.
- The FCC Must Set A Limit— The statutory language is non-discretionary. The legislative history unequivocally states: "The FCC is given discretion in establishing the reasonable limits ... however, the legislation is clear that the FCC must adopt some limitations." Senate Report at 80. The attempt by the MSOs to leverage the word "necessary" so as to make a limit discretionary rather than mandatory should be rejected.
- Understanding *TWE*. In discussing *Time Warner Entertainment, L.P. v. FCC*, 240 F.3d 1126 (D.C. Cir. 2001) at the staff level, it has become clear that staff have a fundamentally different, and far more restrictive interpretation of the *TWE* decision than is warranted.
  - 1. TWE explicitly leaves open the prospect that the 30% cap may be justified on remand.
  - 2. *TWE* does not preclude consideration of other markets than the programming market or other public interest harms than "unfairly imped[ing] the flow of programming."
  - 3. *TWE* does not preclude consideration of diversity.
  - 4. *TWE* does not preclude or diminish the Commission's ability to rely on its predictive judgment to prevent harms from occurring.
  - 5. *TWE* does not mandate any particular form of evidence.

*TWE* does require that the rule "enhance competition." Accordingly, the Commission cannot rely exclusively on the diversity rationale. In addition, *TWE* does require the Commission to support its predictive judgment with evidence.

**\Support for 30%**. Congress intended, and the D.C. Cir. found in *TWE I*, that Congress intended the statute as a prophylaxis to address potential harms. CFA, *et al.* have made the following case for the 30% rule.

- 1. Legal considerations under antitrust law, a presumption of market power is established at 30%. While in antitrust, the government must further prove a violation of law, Congress intended the FCC to enact rules preventing concentration "well below the level of traditional antitrust concern." (Turner II, House Report)
- 2. The factual case CFA, *et al.* comments contain extensive market analysis and economic modeling. CFA also includes case studies of harms already extant in the market place.
- 3. Competition issues *TWE* requires the FCC to consider potential competition from DBS and other sources. The recent report by GAO in the context of the DirecTV/Echostar merger demonstrated clearly that DBS competition *does not influence or discipline cable*. This contrasts with those markets in which there is genuine competition from overbuilders.
- 4. Finally, the FCC independent research demonstrates the fallacy in the cable case. OPP has published papers showing (a) that the cable industry argument that economic self-interest limits the ability of cable MSOs to favor their own content is not valid; and, (b) that cable MSOs can exert market power over programmers at levels well below 50%.